

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,587	03/21/2005	Hendricus Antonius Hoogland	294-193 PCT/US 2814		
23869 HOFFMANN	7590 04/25/2008 & BARON, LLP	EXAMINER			
6900 JERICHO) TURNPIKE		BATTULA, PRADEEP CHOUDARY		
SYOSSET, NY	7 11791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3722		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/501,587	HOOGLAND, HENDRICUS ANTONIUS			
Examiner	Art Unit			
PRADEEP C. BATTULA	3722			

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover	sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED 04 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CON	DITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
a f	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replic application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR refrods:	es: (1) an ame with appeal fee	ndment, affidav) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date				
b) [no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). O	han SIX MONTH	IS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	n.
have be	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wi sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension	on and the corre	sponding amount	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee
set forth may red	I7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than suce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL				
5	The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 April 2008</u> . A brief in cor late of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file DMENTS	xtension there	of (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.
_			-660		
(The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further conside b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				cause
	c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	orm for appeal	by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(They present additional claims without canceling a corre NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 	sponding num	ber of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S	See attached N	otice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowal non-allowable claim(s).	ble if submitted	in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
_ r	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			II be entered and an e	planation of
	Claim(s) allowed:				
(Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
_ t	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but beforecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufforms not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ore or on the of ficient reasons	ate of filing a N why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> rit or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
- 6	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overo showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejection	ons under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of test for RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	the status of th	e claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. 🛛	The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe See Continuation Sheet.	es NOT place t	he application is	n condition for allower.	os because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (PTC Other:	0/SB/08) Pape	No(s)		
	ris H Banks/ rvisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725				

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued the combination of Gerriet in view of Anderson but has failed to state why Anderson is an improper combination and only discusses Gerriet in its own with no discussion of Anderson. With respect to the flangethe applicant has not described what they consider as a flange in the Claims and therefore the term has been broadly used. As broadly claimed the flap is a flange and the recesses do receive the rings. Please review Gerriet, Paragraph 0026, Lines 6 - 11 where it is discussed the distance in the notches coincides with the rings and therefore the notches receive the rings when the flap is closed. Furthermore, Applicant argues the deficiencies of Gerriet, however, these issues are not structurally addressed in the claims and cannot be addressed by the Examiner. Lastly, the in molding features discussed in the claims are not shown in the figures and therefore the subject matter should be removed or additionally drawings should be submitted which do not present new matter.